

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	ERY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO):	
AIRS ID#: 0250647 DAT	ΓΕ: <u>11/19/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:28 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>1:20 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: BUENA VISTA II READY MIX CCB PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 1801 NW MIAMI CT				
	MIAMI 33136-1738			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TERRY LANCASTER PHONE: (954)425-4227				
CONTACT NAME:		PHON	E:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/27/2008 / 11/27/2013				
	(effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
Stack Emissions				
1. Were visible emiss		is site visit according to EPA Mo	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
2. Are emissions from	m silos, weigh hoppers (batche	ers), and other enclosed storage a	and conveying equipment	
3. During visible emi	controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted			
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?				
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then				
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)				
b) During the visi	ble emissions test, was the bat	ching rate representative of the r	normal batching rate and	
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) ope	eration are controlled by a dust of	-	
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No				

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	ie 🗌
 (check papropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas application of water or environmentally safe du emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and of re-entrainment, and from building or work area reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles? 	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: s, stock piles, and yards? Syes No ast-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
<u> </u>				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	C Dula 42 210 200(4)(4)4 E A C			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>5</u> – Kuie 02-210.500(4)(u)4., r.A.C.			
 b) alterations to existing process equipment withou c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4) 	\square Yes \boxtimes No ne owner submit a new and complete			
FRANK DELGADO	11/19/2009			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	11/2010			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
TESTS AND TO CONDUCT THE ANNUAL COMPLIANCE EMISSIONS TESTER FROM TARMAC. THIS FACILITY HAS TWO (2) CONCRETE BATCH PLAN CONDUCTED VE OBSERVATIONS ON THE OLD PLANT THE OLD PLANT HAS SIX (6) EMISSIONS POINTS AND TOTAL OF ELEVEN (11) POINTS.	THE NEW PLANT HAS FIVE (5) EMISSIONS POINTS FOR A E CENTRAL DUST COLLECTOR. THE SILOS WERE LOADED IS DURING THE TESTS. 4 IS NOT IN SERVICE.			

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.